

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/ODNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,885	09/16/2005	Claudine Viegas Conrado	NL 030293	7551
	7590 06/01/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2431		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/549,885	CONRADO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431	

	RAVEIT ADRISTIAIVINAN	2431	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (left).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth intermediate than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-10 and 12-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The Applicant argues that the Saito and Micall reference Micall uses PKI. This argument is not found persuasive. are both directed towards authenticating users with keys does not require help from a server or third party (see Ar infrastructure which used a third party, this would not desall that is required is that there is a rational underpinning met as including reissuing SPKI certificates as thought in instead of generating a new certificate. Therefore, the ar	s are not properly combined since Though PKI and SPKI represent of Furthermore, though Micall requi- policant's Arguments: page 8, parastroy the system of Saito. Under K for the obviousness. This rational of Micall would reduce overhead pro-	Saito is directed toward different authentication res a Certificate author graph 2), if Saito used SR International Co. Nunderpinning requirer toessing by reissuing a	ds SPKI and n schemes, they rity, and Saito d an /. Teleflex Inc., nent is clearly a valid certificate
maintained as given below	DTO/SR/08) Danar Na(a)		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (r i О/SB/06) Paper N0(S)		

/Kaveh Abrishamkar/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2431

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100527